

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 01/22/2004

CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/762,602 03/21/2001 Petros Karouzakis 1581/128WO 6697 01/22/2004 EXAMINER 2101 7590 **BROMBERG & SUNSTEIN LLP** HUI, SAN MING R 125 SUMMER STREET ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER BOSTON, MA 02110-1618 1617

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/762,602	KAROUZAKIS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	San-ming Hui	1617	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 17 December 2003 FAILS TO PLAGE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appears Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application application abandonment of this application applicati	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in	
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing dai b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Off timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin. S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TI e date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the main state.	ng date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP FR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension or the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or	
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);			
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or simplifying the	
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.	
NOTE:			
3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):		
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).			
The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.			
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed: None.			
Claim(s) objected to: <i>None</i> .			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>27-31,33-42 and 48-54</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None.			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by t	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme			
10. Other:	(e)(Wadmandlan	
	SUP	SREENI PADMANABHAN ERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER	

Advisory Action

Patent and Trademark Office OL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Part of Paper No. 01202004

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The references submitted through the IDS filed ecember 17, 2003 merely point out yomibine and sildenafil are not being useful in treating female sexual dysfunction. It is not clear how e teachings of these references would render the instant claims unobvious.